Statewide Academic Council Meeting Summary (Audio only)
June 1, 2016; 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

In person: Sam Gingerich, Daniel White, Susan Henrichs, Helena Wisniewski, Cecile Lardon, Tara Smith
On phone: Saichi Oba, Priscilla Schulte, Maren Haavig, Lisa Hoferkamp, Nycolett Ripley (scribe)

1. Safety Minute - Dan White
    a. During times of change and turnover, make sure hazard and emergency reporting is clearly lined out for new employees. Also, during times of budget constraint, make sure leaders are communicating, as appropriate, with their teams to keep everyone informed on changes.
    b. Moose are calving, so please be aware of wildlife in your area.

2. May 5, 2016 Action Item Update - Dan
   ACTION ITEM: Dan will let President Johnsen know that SAC does not have any objections to the Resolution of Support for the Alaska Postsecondary Access & Completion Network and the statewide postsecondary attainment goal of "65 by 2025" being sent to the Board for consideration. DONE.

   ACTION ITEM: Saichi will bring the Green Dot Training discussion up with Title IX committee. DONE. No decision was made on which training to go with, but it was reiterated that UAA has training programs that have been used. There is not a commitment to using Green Dot solely. This is a matter of further discussion for the Title IX committee.

   ACTION ITEM: Dan will review Board of Regents meeting minutes regarding the 3 vs 1 accreditation model. DONE. In the April Board of Regents meeting minutes, Strategic Pathways discussion.

   ACTION ITEM: Dan will forward the MA in Marine Science PAR form and check "with consensus of SAC" box. DONE – ASA committee voted to remove this from the May 25, 2016 agenda.

   ACTION ITEM: Dan will forward the proposed UAF program deletions (RUST, MEE, Theater) with "consensus of SAC" box checked. DONE – Was on the May 25, 2016 ASA agenda.

3. Review and approve 5/5/16 Meeting Summary (attachment)
   No comments, meeting summary is approved.

4. Adoption of an Alaska Native GER - Dan
   Being considered by the GER alignment task force, and a decision will be forthcoming by September. The task force has given a general endorsement of the requirement and is now evaluating whether this will become a part of the existing GER requirement or added to the GER requirement as an additional credit(s) load.

5. ACCUPLACER Contract – Saichi Oba (attachment)
   Statewide ACCUPLACER contract expires April 30, 2017. Each campus testing coordinator has been notified of a price increase effective August 2016; increase from $1.85 to $1.95 per test. In current/new LOA, ALEKS is included as a test, so that will need to be addressed before final contract is assigned. UAF math department likes the ALEKS system. Understanding is that UAA will be taking up ALEKS, also. UAS is still using ACCUPLACER for math placement and ALEKS is being used for teaching in developmental math. UAS will look into moving to ALEKS for placement testing in developmental math so that UA as a system is

on ALEKS. Consideration should be given to proctored vs un-proctored tests counting during/for placement exams.

The high schools have expressed concern about high school students paying both ACCUPLACER test fees and ALEKS test fees when they are interested in concurrent enrollment classes. Is there a good alternative to ACCUPLACER testing for English placement, etc.?

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send a note to the Provosts asking for recommendations of community practice members for English ACCUPLACER testing to form a group to explore other testing options.

6. Costs of Concurrent Enrollment – Saichi (attachment)

On the attachment "N/A" discrepancy regarding classes taught at the high school (HS) is that "one-off" classes are not captured (e.g. Kodiak College). UAF is conducting sponsored courses. A sponsor (e.g. faculty member, native corporation, etc.) covers the costs associated with teaching the class. The student picks up the difference if the costs are not fully covered.

Question asked regarding UAA and UAS – are there are no courses at the HS being taught by HS teachers? UAA-These may have been done as a one-off and as sponsored courses. There are students under 18 years old in classes, but the information may not be consistently input for system data pulls. UAS-this may also be due to the fact that the classes are not coded specifically to be pulled as for metric data.

High school teacher teaching college course in the high school – should fee be the same as tech prep ($25/credit hour) or the proposed $40/credit hour by Provost Gingerich?

- Tech prep is based on common competency for job skills. Concurrent enrollment is based on academic competency, and this is different from tech prep, so the fees should be different to reflect this.
- $40 fee/credit could include the transcripting fee.
- Why is tech prep being charged a facility fee if they are not using our facilities? UAA and UAF have made a decision to remove facility fee. UAS does not charge a facility fee.
- $40/credit hour is a common number in the Northwest peer schools.

Fee schedule across the system:

- $25/credit hour for tech prep courses, no other fees (first line)
- $40/credit hour for concurrent enrollment courses taught by high school teachers in the high school, no other fees (second line)
    - This will include teacher evaluation/credentials to teach university course, mentoring and transcripting fee
- Everything else is treated as tuition payment

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send the concurrent enrollment fee schedule to June 7, 2016 President's Cabinet for consideration.

ACTION ITEM: Saichi will look into why students are charged a network fee. At UAF, students are charged a network fee because SW charges the campuses a network fee per students.

7. See changes made to R10.07.050 A.3. by GC – Dan (attachment)

General Counsel made a change to the language drafted on regarding employment and affiliations with University. Not a change from current policy that states intellectual property (IP) created using University resources belong to the university, just cleared up the language regarding situations that lead to IP being university property.

Would be a good idea to have a required IP form/information for students, faculty and staff so they are informed.

Concern with GC striking language to grant discretion to campus officials for adjudication of allegations of research misconduct and best way to investigate. Could there be an initial screening panel at the campus level?

ACTION ITEM: Dan will resend the R10.07.050 to the Chancellors for review and then forward to President for review/approval.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will contact general counsel regarding their desired level of contact and notification on external IP matters and to schedule a meeting regarding research misconduct regulation.

8. Faculty Alliance Update – Cecile Lardon

Karl Kowalski and Tom Langdon discussed one instance Blackboard with faculty alliance members. Experiences have varied across the campuses, so this was a chance for faculty to have questions answered and to open dialogue with IT. Bettering communication and understanding about the process between IT and faculty was a key message of the meeting. IT explained this was going originally scheduled to happen over the next year, but the timeline was just moved up. However, there will be a delay until Spring to fully move everyone to the one instance Blackboard.

UAF Faculty Senate passed a resolution regarding benefit rates attached to different types of faculty/instructors based on course assignment. Concern was that if regular faculty are interested in teaching overloads or regular courses, they are turned down because benefit rates are higher. Cost for position is already calculated over 9 months. When teaching an overload, there is no additional healthcare benefit, etc. except for retirement. Fees should be proportional for the contract and University should not be charging for additional benefits. Faculty alliance is looking in to this and will have more information in the Fall.

9. Upcoming topics

- Developmental Education course identification and categorization update – Tara Smith
  - IR council has the draft language for the introductory prerequisite courses. Dan will send a letter to the council following up on this.
- Program additions/deletions
  - UAA New Program Proposal - BS Occupational Safety and Health – Sam Gingerich (attachment)
    - People may show up at BOR public testimony in support of the new program proposal at the June meeting.
  - There will be some deletions coming from UAS. There may also be some deletions from UAA.
- Programs with fewer than 10 majors – Dan

Brought up by Regent Anderson for consideration by SAC. At the April meeting, there was a display of the courses available. Perception that there are some programs on the books that have low enrollment.

Proposed future meeting dates (audio)

July 14, 2016, 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
August 18, 2016, 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
September 14, 2016, 3:00 – 5:00 p.m. (day before Board of Regents meeting)

As the agreed meeting schedule was the first Thursday of the month, these proposed times will not work for schedules.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send out new proposed SAC meeting times the week of June 6th that coincide with Board of Regents meetings. Thursdays are preferred.

10. Roundtable – All

Cecile – SAC is a great example of how faculty can be involved in meaningful ways with administrative actions at the university.

Tara – will faculty be working closely with Dana Thomas regarding accreditation? Dana could attend SAC meetings to provide updates as the evaluation moves forward.

Priscilla – Dr. Karen Carey will be starting in July as provost of UAS.

<u>Action Items</u>

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send a note to the Provosts asking for recommendations of community practice members for English ACCUPLACER testing to form a group to explore other testing options.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send the concurrent enrollment fee schedule to June 7, 2016 President's Cabinet for consideration.

ACTION ITEM: Saichi will look into why students are charged a network fee. At UAF, students are charged a network fee because SW charges the campuses a network fee per students.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will resend the R10.07.050 to the Chancellors for review and then forward to President for review/approval.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will contact general counsel regarding their desired level of contact and notification on external IP matters and to schedule a meeting regarding research misconduct regulation.

ACTION ITEM: Dan will send out new proposed SAC meeting times the week of June 6th that coincide with Board of Regents meetings. Thursdays are preferred.