

Scenario #1

- A) Center of oil and gas economy, scope of impacts to decrease O&G activity is likely to be released to distance from the point. O&G business district approximate limits. Agency Roles: decrease in services and anticipate decrease in O&G tax revenue services= schools, road maintenance, recreation, fire and emergency, solid waste, and planning.
- B) With increase in Sport and PU= increase in conflict with commercial fisheries.
- C) Reduction in fisheries and processing, limits on PU- numbers, and restrictions on sport.
- D) Need infrastructure for PU fishery.
- E) Protect archaeological sites (fish pits) for future studies and DNA studies- in heavy SF traffic areas.
- F) Personal use fishery expanded- area to fish increases because of demand or area remains the same and use is limited by permit.
- G) Fisheries going to be more restrictive.
 - a. In river sport fishing remains stable in regulations/methods, more bag limit area but is use limited and the number of people controlled by permit.
 - b. In river sport fishing becomes more restrictive in regulation of open entry.
 - c. In river sport fishing is enhanced (fish stocking) to accommodate higher use/more use open entry system.
- H) High fishing demand and retire activity tends to value development along, meet water bodies with premium on the Kenai River frontage road or access. Inland lakes and frontage road, coastal views properties; Land use concerns focus.
- I) DEC- increase in sport is increasing in turbidity, affecting water quality.
- J) DEC outreach to seniors, permitting associated to development on more than (1??) acre. Housing, services, and new facilities.
- K) Increased agriculture with warmer temperatures (specialty markets first than larger scale: example-Peonies).
- L) Beaver Creek and Swanson Units Operational Reduction (Leased to Kenai NWR).
- M) EPA: secondarily will comment on CW/404 permit application and NEPA documents.
- N) Wildfire Increase.
- O) Protect archaeological sites (fish pits) for future studies and DNA studies- in heavy SF traffic areas.
- P) Agency rolls: KPB Planning and Zoning: 50 foot habitat protection flood plain regulatory district, future implementation of conventional zoning. Planning information, provide land use practices information to inform private decisions. Local maintenance and stream crossing management, and formulation of drainage ordinances- water management districts.
- Q) Continued demand for fishing access: boat launch, ELPs, and need for facilities.
- R) Fall flooding and glacial dam flooding increases.
- S) Boat ramp/landing and any public boat ramps.

T) ENSTAR Pipeline ROW within Kenai NWR.

U) Russian River campground and access (Eastside): limit (too much use already), bear-human interactions, stream bank and instream habitat restoration.

V) Increase in SF, move away from salmon to resident species/upper watershed- freshwater and lakes. Fly In.

Other scenario impacts, not labeled on the map:

- Increase drift boat traffic to upper Kenai and from Skilak to downstream for SF...can boat ramps handle that?
- Development trends particularly along waterways and the development protections associated with it.
- ADF&G remediation, restoration of oil and gas closures.
- BOF process plant or two go bust.
- USFS- Cooper Landing, Hope, and Ninilchik retirement community; federal subsistence dipnet.