


Academic Program Review


Program submits portfolio addressing Program Review required elements as specified in University Regulation:

1. centrality to missions
2. quality of program
3. demand for program – institutional research data provided
4. program productivity and efficiency – institutional research data provided
5. timeliness of actions to augment, reduce, or discontinue
6. cost of program – institutional research data provided
7. program duplication in UA system



All programs reviewed at least once every five years (historically); recently changed to once every 7

Academic Proposals



Program Approval Levels	
Occupational Endorsement:	Chancellor
New Minors:	Chancellor
Certificates:	BOR and NWCCU
Associates:	BOR and NWCCU
Bachelors:	BOR and NWCCU
Masters:	BOR and NWCCU
Graduate Certificates:	BOR and NWCCU
Post-Baccalaureate Certificates:	BOR and NWCCU
Doctorates:	BOR and NWCCU

Promotion & Tenure Review*

Faculty member submits Promotion & Tenure file containing:

- annual activity reports
- annual evaluations
- student evaluations
- self-evaluation
- workload distribution history
- curriculum vitae
- evidence of scholarly activity
- letters of support
- optional materials

Dean requests external review

Peer Review Committee
(membership varies somewhat by union)

Dean Review

Institution-wide Review Committee
(committees for UAFT and UNAC)

Provost Review

Chancellor Decision

Campus director or institute director input as appropriate

UAA & UAS

UAF

UNAC

UAFT

Appeal Process

*UAFT and UNAC processes differ