

Options for review

Additional academic and administrative integration	Revision of budget allocation model	Structural change, e.g., mergers, closures, changes of mission
<p>Academic (led by VPASR, Academic Council)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Complete implementation of teacher education program consolidation 2. Set single definition of lecture/course hour (50 or 60 minutes, one or the other) 3. Consolidate and redesign common GERS 4. Increase coordination of curriculum and faculty and staff resources in similar programs across UA 5. Consolidate duplicative academic units 6. Develop university wide strategic plan for eLearning <p>Administrative (led by VPUR, Business Council)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Devolve Information Technology services from Statewide to universities 2. Consolidate Information Technology services in Statewide 3. Assign responsibility for administrative services to a university 4. Increase cost-effective outsourcing 5. Reduce facility footprint 6. Expand “work from home” from pre-COVID 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Allow tuition differentiation among universities, between university and CTE programs, between in-person and on-line, and between in-state and out-of-state 2. Develop budget allocation formula weighted for mission, enrollment, disciplines, and degrees offered 3. Allocate UGF for expenses in accordance with peer ratios 	<p>Structural (led by President, Executive Council)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Merge community campuses into UAS 2. Merge UAS into UAA and/or UAF, while preserving access and other values 3. Re-envision partnerships with selected community campuses/facilities, including possible merger and/or transfer to local entity
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"> <p>Options for preliminary review are identified in bold face. They were selected based on several factors, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UGF cost savings potential 2. Ability to ensure student access/affordability 3. Opportunity to support mission focus 4. Time/ease of implementation 5. Additional considerations, e.g., on-line alternatives <p>Other options, including options to be identified later, will be considered for review at a future time.</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">May 20, 2020</p>		