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It is urgent to complete this process because for every week that goes by without decisions the University is unable to realize the savings that will be needed to balance the budget for the coming fiscal year. It should be noted that by Board policy administrative and professional staff are granted six months notice before layoff and faculty as a general rule a full year notice before layoff actions take effect. Thus, any actions which take place in February of 1987 do not begin to accrue savings until August of 1987 in the case of professional staff, and July of 1988 for faculty members.

The three Consulting Groups were asked to respond to a series of questions drafted for each campus and which represented decisions that needed to be made early in the restructuring process, or were necessary in order to give appropriate charges to the task forces that will be appointed following action of the Board of Regents in February. The questions which were presented to each of the regional Consulting Groups are contained in Appendix B as a part of the bulletin that was used to establish the Consulting Group process.

Because of the pressure of time the Consulting Groups did not submit written reports, but rather shared in oral presentations the responses to the questions that were asked of each group. In large part, consensus was reached on the outline of structural elements that should be included in the new institutions. There were reservations expressed by individuals within the Groups about consensus positions, but generally agreement seemed to exist on the important points that need to be resolved in order to move forward with the design of the new units. Proposals and recommendations which are being forwarded to the Regents are reflections of this consensus as understood by the President and members of his staff who took part in the meetings. In cases where consensus did not exist, a proposal is put forward seeking to gain the best features of several points of view.

III. Proposals and Recommendations

The recommendations for action by the Board of Regents are put forth below as a series of numbered points under each of the regional units. It should be noted that the points under some units, such as the treatment of vocational/technical education in the Anchorage Southcentral unit, has implications for the other two units as well. There are several points at which the recommendation in a given unit will impact upon other units of the University system and these are not repeated for other locations.
Anchorage - Southcentral

This is the most complex unit to restructure of the three new organizations. It combines two large institutions, Anchorage Community College and the University of Alaska, Anchorage; the administrative headquarters of CCREE; and Kenai Peninsula Community College, Kodiak Community College, and Mat-Su Community College. In addition, it is the center of the largest vocational/technical instructional program in the University which must be effectively incorporated in the new institution. The number of faculty and students affected by this complex merger represents more than half of the total enrollment in the University of Alaska system.

In general there was consensus on the features of the new university, or at least strong feelings about the need for visibility of different units which has been accommodated in the recommendations. One area of disagreement which could not be reconciled was the organizational structure of the institution and the role of the faculties. Therefore, a proposal is put forth which to a degree incorporates elements of both positions. The alternative formulation attempts to create a new institution which can meet the goals of both of the large existing campuses and continue to recognize the importance of the missions central to each institution.

The points of the restructuring implementation recommendation for the Anchorage-Southcentral institution are as follows:

1. The academic organization will consist of a Division of General Studies, a Division of Disciplinary, Professional and Career Studies, three University Centers at Kenai, Kodiak, and Mat-Su, and each report to the Office of Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. The Division of General Studies will be responsible for the general education, developmental and remedial education programs serving all students. This Division will recommend to the faculty the award of the associate of arts degree. The Division of Disciplinary, Professional and Career Studies will house professional schools, academic disciplinary departments and programs to deliver applied and vocational and technical studies. This Division will recommend to the faculty the award of associate of applied science, the baccalaureate and advanced degrees.

2. All faculty members will be appointed in appropriate departmental units. The departmental units will all be located within the Division of Disciplinary, Professional
Career Studies. A faculty member will be assigned to duties in each Division depending on teaching needs and the individual's skills and preferences. It is expected that most faculty will serve in both Divisions. The allocation of duties for a faculty member will be established at the time of original appointment and restated periodically. The Vice Chancellor's Office will determine faculty assignments to the two Divisions. Faculty in the University Centers will also be appointed in departments and assigned to the two Divisions.

The General Studies curriculum will be the responsibility of the entire faculty. Academic governance will be guided by a constitution to be developed for this purpose.

3. The University Centers at Kenai, Kodiak, and Kat-Su will each have a Dean or Director who reports to the Academic Vice Chancellor. The faculty in each Center will be department members and have duties relating to either or both Divisions. The direction of the faculty is the responsibility of the Center Dean or Director. In addition, the Center administrator will have a labor pool fund to make appointments and offer courses to fill local service needs. The approval of course offerings and temporary faculty are subject to periodic review by the Academic Vice Chancellor.

4. A separate unit reporting to the Chancellor will be established to deploy, coordinate and evaluate vocational and technical education throughout the University. This unit will be responsible for continuous oversight and review of training needs and programs across the State. Where there is a strong and sustained demand for vocational and technical training, resources will be committed to the location on a continuing basis. These programs will be subject to regular evaluations. Where a periodic demand or need exists for vocational/technical training, provision will be made for periodic course offerings. These continuous and periodic offerings will be under local supervision. In addition, local labor pool funds can be used for specific offerings. The Anchorage office will maintain a "quick-start" capability to respond to unpredictable opportunities anywhere in the State. The Anchorage office will be required to work closely with business, industry, government, the Department of Education, and the public schools in developing University vocational/technical programs.
There are some delicate problems that need careful examination in the implementation of the new structure. For example, the relationship between the University Center at Sitka, Sheldon Jackson College, and Mt. Edgecumbe School, needs to be carefully examined and a set of agreements developed which benefit both the University and the community. The situation in Sitka requires special attention because of funding changes that have impacted several of the institutions which have been working together to formulate an educational consortium for that region.

That which has been recommended above is a series of basic directions within which the task force process can go forward. The outline of each campus is clear, but the details remain to be written. These details, in many instances, must be designed by the people on the scene, those most affected by the decisions, and those most knowledgeable about the programs that need to be in place. The plan is to turn now to local groups, calling on broad representation to help shape the details of the new campuses within the guidelines that have been proposed.

There are several questions that persist, in spite of endless reassurances which are answered in the presentation above. For example, there will be teaching units at each of the Community College sites that traditionally have been maintained by the University. These units will be able to provide the range of programs that they have in the past, including developmental studies, associate of applied sciences courses, associate of arts courses, vocational and technical training, and cultural enrichment courses. In addition, all of these sites will gain the capacity to offer on a regular basis upperdivision courses leading to the baccalaureate although all of these services will be hampered by the shortage of funds.

Vocational/technical education will be available in all of the locations where it is today, although changes will occur over time in the programs offered based on a very careful study of the demand for that which is now being presented and the needs for vocational and technical education throughout the State.

It is a pleasure to report that the Consulting Groups for each of the new units believe that open admissions, a commitment to developmental education, services to non-traditional students, and a concern for assisting people in finding their way into and through higher education, are an obligation of every unit. This consensus developed quickly and universally in the Consulting Groups and will be included in the mission statements and values of each of the new institutions.
Each of the Consulting Groups quickly agreed on the pattern of community advice that would be most useful for the new units. It was agreed that the University Centers should have locally based advisory groups and that these groups in turn should contribute to institutional advisory groups which would represent the communities and regions served by the new campuses. A careful plan of designing, selecting, and organizing these advisory structures will be developed for the institutions. In addition, a number of advisory groups to specific programs and activities will continue to exist and even be expanded with the creation of the new University structure.

Because of the great concern expressed over maintaining the integrity of the Community College mission, it may be appropriate to set up a mechanism which would guard this process from year to year. For example, the new institutions could be asked to report annually to the Board of Regents, as the CCREE units do today, on the characteristics, status, and success of the Community College mission.

In addition, the statewide administration might be asked to hold public hearings each year in which Regents could participate and the community would be asked to comment on the success of the Community College mission of each of the new institutions.

A third alternative might be to ask outside professionals who are expert in the community college area to visit the campuses periodically and report on the effectiveness with which the Community College mission is being maintained and delivered.

Each of these steps would provide the Board of Regents with a reading on the maintenance of the Community College mission in the University through its three comprehensive regional units.

IV. Next Steps

The selection of Chancellors for the Anchorage-Southcentral and Juneau-Southeast institutions is scheduled to take place as soon as possible after the February Board of Regents meeting. These selections will be made from people already in the University and technically will be promotions within the terms of the University's personnel policies. The reason for proceeding in this manner is to quickly identify individuals to give leadership to the new institutions. It will be necessary to have persons who can devote full time to the creation of the new structure for both the Anchorage and Juneau based institutions so that the administrative and academic programs can be ready to go into operation at the earliest time without interrupting the on-going processes of the existing
Appendix A

Board of Regents’ Meeting
December 4-5, 1986

PASSED AS AMENDED:

The Board of Regents approves the general concept of restructuring of the University of Alaska as presented by the president, and instructs the president to prepare detailed implementing plans in accordance therewith. The Board further instructs the president that the restructuring plan for implementation accomplish the following to the greatest degree possible:

1) Incorporation of specific details for assuring that multi-part mission statements, identity, vocational education, and local mechanisms for input are met.

2) That in the consolidation of functions currently performed in the universities, community colleges, distance delivery, and related program offerings within the system into the new three (3) regional administrative units, the president creates separate sub-units in each of the new three (3) MAUs to preserve the accountability of:

a. individual and unique missions, and
b. the budget identity of the existing organizational functions

The Board further instructs the president to seek final Board approval of such plans prior to their implementation. This motion is effective December 5, 1986.